

A closer look at Anti-Racist Research



ICES encourages the use of race and related data to address health inequities in a way that reflects the values of communities directly impacted and acknowledges the impacts of systemic racism.

If considering race-related data* for your project, the following resources are recommended. Explore more guidance on **page 49 - 57** of the [Guidance Document & Framework for Anti-Racist Approaches to Research and Analytics at ICES](#).

*ICES has previously developed distinct approaches for Indigenous data in partnership with Indigenous leadership organizations. More information [here](#).

Project planning and initiation

When preparing a grant submission or submitting your PAW or PIA:

Review ICES' [race-related data inventory](#)

- 1
 - Consider why you want to use race-related data in your project and what [other sociodemographic factors](#) may be needed to contextualize the data.
 - Consider how sources and collection methods may introduce bias in your project.

Ground your work in a [conceptual framework](#)

- 2
 - [Recommendations published in JAMA Pediatrics](#) highlight the importance of explicitly presenting what your race-related variables are believed to proxy. Consider using [a Directed Acyclic Graph \(DAG\)](#) to guide your analysis (see [examples](#)).
 - This and other [resources for anti-racist research](#) may support you to model and delineate the role of systemic factors in disparities.

Consider the composition of your research team

- 3
 - Consider where [qualitative social science approaches](#) can contribute to equity-focused research and complement traditional ICES approaches.
 - Assess whether [community engagement](#) is feasible, appropriate, and necessary. Avoid [tokenistic](#) requests to 'sign off' once decisions have already been made.
 - The [ICES Public Engagement and Knowledge Translation office](#) can provide one-on-one support to help plan and budget for engagement(s) for your ICES project.

Data analysis and interpretation

When crafting a dataset creation plan, analyzing, or describing implications of findings:

4 Self-reflect on privilege and positionality

- Data are never truly “raw”, neutral, and unbiased. Rather, [data reflect the biases of the societal contexts and institutional settings](#) from which they were collected.
- Everyone has unconscious biases that influence reactions to data. Consider how your outcomes or exposures were selected and whether to consult [community](#) or [social scientists](#).

5 Acknowledge that “race” is a social construct

- Analyses of human variation have demonstrated that modern humans are not classifiable into biological races. “Race” should not be conflated with “ancestry”.
- Familiarize yourself with these fundamentals through ICES’ [Equity in Focus speaker series](#).

6 Consider the implications of your analytical decisions

- Consider how bias can appear in the analysis phase, including overadjustment, inappropriate modeling, missing data, and/or inappropriate comparison groups.
- For personalized guidance, [book a chat](#) with Dr. Arjumand Siddiqi (ICES Scientific Advisory Committee member and Canada Research Chair in Population Health Equity).

Sharing and Mobilizing Findings

When preparing publications, presentations, and other knowledge mobilization efforts:

7 Be mindful of language and reporting

- Overtly name “racism” where applicable.
- Use precise and respectful language to describe communities.
- Explore recommended [communication tools](#).

8 Go beyond publishing – plan for action and impact

- [Model race as a social construct](#), where health disparities are a consequence of racism, to create a more helpful framing for solutions that address upstream causes.
- Get inspired by examples of work from other scientists in the [Equity in Focus speaker series](#), where they showcase the role of ICES research in planning for action and impact.
- Learn more about integrating [community engagement](#) for impact.